Senate

Record of Committee Proceedings

Committee on Privacy, Electronic Commerce and Financial Institutions

Senate Bill 140

Relating to: use of caller identification blocking services by telephone solicitors. By Senators Erpenbach, Baumgart, Clausing, Darling, Drzewiecki, Grobschmidt,

Plache and Roessler; cosponsored by Representatives Schneider, Berceau, Black, Brandemuehl, Cullen, Goetsch, Gronemus, Gunderson, Hasenohrl, Kreuser, Montgomery, Musser, Petrowski, Pocan, Sykora, Turner, Wood and Powers.

May 5, 1999

Referred to committee on Privacy, Electronic Commerce and Financial Institutions.

August 24, 1999

PUBLIC HEARING HELD

Present:

(0) None.

Absent:

None.

Appearances for

- Jim Rabbitt, DATCP
- Senator Jon Erpenbach

(0)

• Representative Marlin Schneider

Appearances against

• None.

Appearances for Information Only

None.

Registrations for

- JoAnna Richard, Attorney General's Office
- Senator Alberta Darling

Registrations against

None.

August 24, 1999

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Present:

(4) Senators Erpenbach, Jauch, Plache and Rude.

Absent:

(1) Senator Fitzgerald.

Moved by Senator Rude, seconded by Senator Jauch, that **Senate Bill 140** be recommended for passage.

Ayes: (5) Senators Erpenbach, Jauch, Plache, Rude and Fitzgerald(polling).

Noes: (0) None. Absent: (0) None.

PASSAGE RECOMMENDED, Ayes, 5, Noes 0, Absent 0

Julie A Laundrie Committee Clerk

Senate

Committee Report

The committee on **Privacy, Electronic Commerce and Financial Institutions**, reports and recommends:

Senate Bill 140

Relating to: use of caller identification blocking services by telephone solicitors. By Senators Erpenbach, Baumgart, Clausing, Darling, Drzewiecki, Grobschmidt, Plache and Roessler; cosponsored by Representatives Schneider, Berceau, Black, Brandemuehl, Cullen, Goetsch, Gronemus, Gunderson, Hasenohrl, Kreuser, Montgomery, Musser, Petrowski, Pocan, Sykora, Turner, Wood and Powers.

Senator Jon Erpenbach

Chair

Roll Call

	Present	Absent
Sen Erpenbach		
Sen Fitzgerald	·	
Sen Jauch		
Sen Plache		
Sen Rude -	X	

Committee Meeting Aug 24th

	<u>Present</u>	Absent	
Sen Erpenbach			
Sen Fitzgerald		X	Notofilease
Sen Jauch			
	,		
Sen Plache			
	$\setminus \wedge$		
Sen Rude -	\sim		

VOTE SHEET
VOTE SHEET BILL SB (40)
SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT Authored by Seconded by
SENATE AMENDMENT Authored by Seconded by
MOTION Rude / James
YES NO
SENATOR ERPENBACH
SENATOR FITZGERALD PO
SENATOR JAUCH
SENATOR PLACHE
SENATOR RUDE

SENATE HEARING SLIP (Please Print Plainly)	SUBJECT	NAME) (Street Address or Route Number)	Hadram W/ 53703 (City and Zip Code)	Httorney Yeveral's Office (Representing) of Speaking in Favor:	Registering in Favor: but not speaking: Registering Against: but not speaking:	Speaking for information only; Neither for nor against: Please return this slip to a messenger PROMPTLY Senate Sergeant-At-Arms State Capitol - B35 South P.O.Box 7882 Madison, WI 53707-7882
SENATE HEARING SLIP (Please Print Plainly) DATE: \$\langle 2 \langle 99	BILL NO.—32/40 of SUBJECT	Wh. Albria Muling (NAME) (Street Address or Route Number)	(City and Zip Code)	(Representing) Speaking in Favor: Speaking Against:	Registering in Favor: but not speaking: Registering Against: but not speaking:	Speaking for information only; Neither for nor against: Please return this slip to a messenger PROMPTLX. Senate Sergeant-At-Arms State Capitol - B35 South P.O.Box 7882 Madison, WI 53707-7882

SENATE HEARING SLIP

(Please Print Plainly)

DATE: 8-24-99	BILL NO 55 140	SUBJECT	Sim (Zelbit	Still Agrical fury Dr. (Street Address or Route Number)	Madison 55708 (City and Zip Gode)	(Representing) Fratection Speaking in Favor:	Speaking Against	Registering in Favor: but <u>not</u> speaking:	Registering Against: but not speaking:	Speaking for information only; Neither for nor against:	Please return this slip to a messenger PROMPTLY.
ĺ	1		7	الد	2	k —			<u> </u>		MPTLX

Senate Sergeant-At-Arms
State Capitol - B35 South
P.O.Box 7882
Madison, WI 53707-7882

SENATE HEARING SLIP	(Please Print Plainly) DATE: \$\frac{1}{2} \langle \frac{1}{2} \langle \langle \frac{1}{2} \langle \langle \frac{1}{2} \langle	MARE LIN D. Schneider (NAME) 3820 Southbrook Ligure (Street Address or Route Number)	(City and Zip Code)	ting) g in Favor: g Against:	Registering in Favor: but <u>not</u> speaking:	Registering Against: but not speaking:	Speaking for information only; Neither for nor against:	Please return this slip to a messenger PROMPTLY. Senate Sergeant-At-Arms State Capitol - B35 South P.O.Box 7882 Madison, WI 53707-7882
SENATE HEARING SLIP	DATE: 8 2 C BILL NO. SP 140 or SUBJECT	(NAME) Len Enluball (Street Address or Route Number)	(City and Zip Code)	(Representing) Speaking in Favor: Speaking Against:	Registering in Favor: but <u>not</u> speaking:	Registering Against: but <u>not</u> speaking:	Speaking for information only; Neither for nor against:	Please return this slip to a messenger PROMPTLY. Senate Sergeant-At-Arms State Capitol - B35 South P.O.Box 7882 Madison, WI 53707-7882



State of Wisconsin

Tommy G. Thompson, Governor

Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection Ben Brancel, Secretary

August 23, 1999

To:

Senator Jon Erpenbach, Chair

Committee on Privacy, Electronic Commerce and Financial Institutions

From:

Division of Trade and Consumer Protection Rul Division

Subject:

Senate Bill 140, relating to Use of Caller ID Blocking by Telephone

Solicitors

The Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection supports proposed SB 140, sections 1 through 5 and section 7, relating to telephone and facsimile solicitation practices. The Department takes no position on section 6.

We believe this bill represents a reasonable response to consumer concerns about their inability to identify solicitors who telemarket them by voice or facsimile. Caller identification service simply allows the consumer to "peek through the curtain" before answering the call.

Both state and federal rules prohibit a telemarketer from calling consumers who ask to be removed from the solicitor's prospective customer list, calling during odd hours, or calling in an attempt to harass or threaten the consumer. However, a number of consumers have complained to us that most solicitors block caller identifying features leaving the consumer with little proof of such activities. Consumers are frustrated because they believe they are losing a useful means of identifying and screening unscrupulous telemarketers.

A significant number of consumer telemarketing complaints are filed each year with the Department. In 1998, telemarketing complaints entered the list of Wisconsin's Top Ten Consumer Protection issues for the first time. In response, the Trade and Consumer Protection Division rewrote Chapter ATCP 127, Wis. Administration Code ("Direct Marketing") to strengthen consumer's rights. One new requirement is that the caller identify who they are, and who they represent, before any other conversation of substance occurs. SB 140 would enhance that requirement.

Our Department has long advised Wisconsin consumers against dealing with telemarketers they do not know. This bill would prohibit one of the primary tools an unscrupulous telemarketer could use to mask its identity without imposing any costs on legitimate businesses.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify on Senate Bill 140.